UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Docket #14m2848

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, :

- against -

GIBRON LOPEZ, et al., : New York, New York

December 19, 2014

Defendants.

-----:

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE

THE HONORABLE ANDREW J. PECK,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the United States U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

of America: BY: MARGARET GARNETT, ESQ.

One St. Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

For Defendant Lopez: PELUSO & TOUGER

BY: DAVID TOUGER, ESQ.

70 Lafayette Street

New York, New York 10013

For Defendant Ortiz: FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK

BY: MARK GOMBINER, ESQ.
52 Duane Street, Tenth Floor

New York, New York 10007

For Defendant Rodriguez: JOSHUA DRATEL, ESQ.

29 Broadway, Suite 1412 New York, New York 10006

SPANISH INTERPRETER PRESENT

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services

141 East Third Street #3E New York, New York 10009 Phone: (212) 420-0771 Fax: (212) 420-6007

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;

Transcript produced by transcription service

Case 1:15-cr-00002-CM Document 19 Filed 02/26/15 Page 2 of 24

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
For Defendant Guerrero:	STEWART ORDEN, ESQ. Two Gannett Drive, Suite 418 White Plains, New York 10604

INDEX

3

EXAMINATIONS

Re- Re-Witness Direct Cross Direct Cross

None

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Voir Number Description ID In Dire

None

THE COURT: U.S. v. Jose Cortez, Jose Rodriguez, 1 2 Luis Guerrero, Gibron Lopez. Counsel, state your name for the record starting with the Government. 3 MS. MARGARET GARNETT: Good afternoon, Your 4 Honor, Margaret Garnett for the United States. With me is 5 6 Special Agent Amanda Schweiner from the ATF. 7 MR. MARK GOMBINER: Mark Gombiner, Federal Defenders, for Mr. Jose Ortiz. Good afternoon, Judge. 8 9 MR. DAVID TOUGER: Good afternoon, Your Honor, 10 David Touger for Mr. Gibron Lopez. 11 MR. JOSHUA DRATEL: Good afternoon, Joshua Dratel 12 for Jose Rodriquez. 13 MR. STEWART ORDEN: Your Honor, Good afternoon, 14 Stewart Orden on behalf of Mr. Guerrero. 15 THE COURT: All right, let me now advise all the 16 defendants of certain rights that you have. This is not a 17 trial. You're not called upon to answer the charges 18 against you at this time. 19 You have the right to remain silent. You're not 20 required to make any statements. Even if you've already 21 made any statements to the authorities, you need not make 22 any further statements. Anything you do say can be used 23 against you. 24 You have the right to be released eitehr conditionally or unconditionally pending trial, unless I 25

```
5
   find that there are no conditions that would reasonably
 1
 2
   assure your continued presnce in court and the safety of
    the community. If the prosecutors ask me to detain you
 3
   pending trial, you're entitled to a prompt hearing on
 4
   whether those conditions exist.
 5
 6
             Starting with Mr. Ortiz, do you understand all of
 7
   these rights?
             MR. ORTIZ: Yes, I do.
 8
                          All right, does Mr. Ortiz need an
 9
             THE COURT:
10
    interpreter?
11
             MR. GOMBINER:
                             Yeah.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Fortunately, the best of our
13
    staff language interpreters is standing right here.
14
    right, Mr. Ortiz, do you understand these rights?
15
             MR. ORTIZ:
                          Yes.
16
             THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, do you understand these
17
   rights?
18
             MR. LOPEZ:
                          Yes, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT:
                          And Mr. Rodriquez.
20
             MR. RODRIGUEZ: (indiscernible)
21
             THE COURT:
                          Excuse me?
22
             MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
23
                          Thank you. And, Mr. Guerrero, do you
             THE COURT:
24
    understand these rights?
             MR. GUERRERO: Yes, Your Honor.
25
```

```
6
             THE COURT: You each have the right to be
 1
 2
   represented by counsel during all court appearances and
    during any and all questioning by the authorities. If you
 3
    cannot afford an attorney, I will appoint one today to
 4
 5
    represent you throughout this case at no cost to you.
 6
    Guerrero, do you understand your rights to counsel?
 7
             MR. GUERRERO:
                             Yes, sir.
                          And, Mr. Rodriguez, do you understand
 8
             THE COURT:
 9
   your rights to counsel?
10
             MR. RODRIGUEZ:
                              Yes, sir.
11
             THE COURT:
                          And, Mr. Lopez, do you understand
12
    your right to counsel?
13
             MR. LOPEZ:
                         Yes, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: And Mr. Ortiz?
15
             MR. ORTIZ:
                         Yes, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT:
                          All right, let's start with Mr.
17
    Guerrero. Please stand. And actually it needs, Mr. Orden,
18
    it needs to be signed. So while he's doing that, let's go
19
    to Mr. Rodriquez. Please stand. Is this your signature on
20
    the financial affidavit?
21
                              Yes, sir.
             MR. RODRIGUEZ:
22
             THE COURT: Raise your right hand please. Do you
23
    solemnly swear that the information in your financial
24
    affidavit is true, complete, and correct, so help you God?
25
             MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir.
```

THE COURT: Very good. Be seated, I approve your 1 2 application and appoint Mr. Dratel to represent you. 3 Okay, now we're back to Mr. Guerrero. Please Obviously, this is your signature on the financial 4 5 affidavit, correct? 6 MR. GUERRERO: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: Raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear the information in your financial affidavit 8 9 is true, complete, and correct, so help you God? 10 Yes, Your Honor. MR. GUERRERO: 11 THE COURT: All right, I approve your application 12 and appoint Mr. Orden to represent you. Mr. Lopez, please 13 stand. Is that your signature? 14 MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is. 15 THE COURT: Raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear that the information in your financial 16 17 affidavit is true, complete, and correct --18 MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Very good, I approve your application 20 and appoint Mr. Touger to represent you. And, finally, Mr. 21 Ortiz, please stand. Is this your signature on the financial affidavit? 2.2 23 MR. ORTIZ: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Raise your right hand. solemnly swear that the information in your financial

```
affidavit is true, complete, and correct, so help you God?
 1
 2
             MR. ORTIZ:
                          Yes.
             THE COURT: All right, I approve your application
 3
 4
   and appoint Mr. Gombiner to represent you. Give me a
 5
   moment here.
 6
             (pause in proceeding)
 7
             THE COURT: Okay, Agent, is it Schweiner?
             AGENT SCHWEINER: Yes, sir.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Please stand, raise your right hand.
   Do you solemnly swear that the information in the complaint
10
11
    is true and correct?
12
             AGENT SCHWEINER:
                                I do.
13
             THE COURT: Be seated. Mr. Gombiner, have you
14
   received a cop of the complaint?
15
             MR. GOMBINER:
                             Yes, I have, Judge, I reviewed the
16
    substance of it with Mr. Ortiz. We waive its public
17
   reading.
             THE COURT: All right, Mr. Touger.
18
19
             MR. TOUGER: Yes, Your Honor. I reviewed the
20
    complaint with Mr. Lopez, and I waive its public reading.
21
    And it's Touger, by the way.
22
             THE COURT:
                          Sorry.
23
                          That's okay, nobody gets it.
             MR. TOUGER:
24
             THE COURT:
                         Mr. Dratel.
25
             MR. DRATEL: Yes, Your Honor, we have reviewed it
```

after addressing the issue of bail with counsel. What is

```
10
   the Government's position on bail?
 1
 2
             MS. GARNETT: Your Honor, the Government's
   seeking detention for all four defendants.
 3
                          All right, Mr. Gombiner, are you
 4
             THE COURT:
   prepared to proceed at this time?
 5
 6
             MR. GOMBINER: Judge, based on Mr. Ortiz's parole
 7
   status, we don't have any application at this time.
             THE COURT: All right, detention as to Mr. Ortiz
 8
 9
    ordered on consent without prejudice to any future bail
10
    application. Preliminary hearing date, Mr. Gombiner.
11
             MR. GOMBINER: Fourteen days.
12
             THE COURT: All right, January 5. Okay, next, as
13
    to Mr. Lopez, are you ready to proceed, counsel?
14
             MR. TOUGER: Yes, Your Honor.
15
             THE COURT:
                          All right, let's hold that for a
16
   minute. As to Rodriguez, Mr. Dratel.
17
             MR. DRATEL:
                          Yes, we're ready to proceed.
18
             THE COURT:
                          All right, and as to Guerrero, Mr.
19
    Orden, are you ready to proceed?
20
             MR. ORDEN: I am, Your Honor, I think my
21
    colleagues want me to proceed first.
             THE COURT:
2.2
                          All right, well, first, we hear from
    the Government. So let's hear from the Government.
23
24
             MS. GARNETT: As Your Honor knows from reading
    the complaint, this is a presumption, a case that carries
25
```

would have to be killed.

the statutory presumption of detention. In addition, Your Honor, I think the charges in the complaint are very serious. Both Mr. Lopez and Mr. Guerrero are openly discussing repeatedly in recorded conversations the expectation that the anticipated victims of the robbery would have to be killed as part of the robbery and that they were prepared to do so. Indeed, it was Mr. Lopez who first initiated discussion of the possibility that people

Mr. Lopez was the initiator of the robbery. It's true that as the plan proceeded it was a sting in control of the Government, but the entire plan was initiated by Mr. Lopez proposing to the CI that he work with him to carry out a drug robbery. In addition, Mr. Lopez and Mr. Guerrero over multiple meetings were actively involved in moving the plan forward, and Mr. Rodriguez was also a participant in certain of those conversations, although joining later than Mr. Lopez and. Mr. Guerrero.

With respect to Mr. Lopez, he has four prior bench warrants. He's currently unemployed. And we respectfully submit, with regard to Mr. Lopez, that he presents both a danger to the community and a significant risk of non-appearance.

Mr. Guerrero's also unemployed. He has a prior robbery felony, admittedly as a juvenile, but, nonetheless,

1 | a prior armed robbery, as well as a 2008 bench warrant.

2 And given all the factors and in particular the offense

3 | conduct, we think he's also a strong candidate for

4 detention.

Mr. Rodriguez had his probation revoked in 2008, and he also has a pretty significant arrest and conviction history, and given the nature of the offense and his open discussion of violence, two loaded firearms in the car, and his criminal history, we think he's also a strong candidate for detention.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Orden, you said your colleagues gave you the short straw, so go ahead.

MR. ORDEN: They did, Judge. Perhaps the best straw. I understand Your Honor has to review the bare contents of the complaint, and one doesn't know yet what the actual recordings will reveal. I think a number of the quotes attributed to my client, first of all, are subject to interpretation in terms of what actually may have said. It's coded language which they chose to interpret a particular way. But notwithstanding that, I understand what you're left with, which is the flat complaint itself.

But I think one of the things you have to assess in assessing my client is likelihoods. My client when he was 14 years old had his first and only brush with anything resembling violence. I can't tell what that is from really

reading it. It seems to me that it's a bunch of 14 years old beating up and taking something from another youth, but that was adjudicated a youthful offender. That's over 11 years ago when he was 14. So that's it. Since then he's had two extremely minor brushes with the law.

So I would ask you to consider, given the nature of the charges and what they allege my client to have been engaged in and what the specific language may or may not have been and what he did here, what is the likelihood that my client actually had in his mind that which they suggest. He's 26 years old, he's never virtually been in trouble with the law since he was 14. His status in the community has been --

THE COURT: Well, other than two prior drug collars and actually both are convictions.

MR. ORDEN: They were disorderly conduct violations, Your Honor. One was the possession as a class B misdemeanor, which is a nothing in the State of New York in terms of drug quantity, and the other, while they charged as a felony, they reduced it to a disorderly conduct violation which tells you something about the seriousness and the actual truth of those original charges. While they do tend to reduce things in New York State, they don't reduce class B felonies to sell narcotics to violations and (inaudible) when they're serious.

```
15
             MR. ORDEN:
                          I would have to ask.
 1
                                                I see his
 2
   girlfriend is shaking yes, her head yes. May I have 30
    seconds?
 3
             THE COURT: Very briefly, which is why I was
 4
 5
    suggesting you might want to put this off.
 6
             MR. ORDEN:
                          Yes, Your Honor. Five financially
 7
   responsible people that earn in excess of a hundred
 8
    thousand dollars.
 9
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. What else?
10
             MR. ORDEN:
                          That's all I have to offer, Judge. I
11
    don't think that's going to get any better which is why I'm
12
    doing it on the fly.
13
             THE COURT: All right, let me hear from the
14
    Government as to Guerrero. Anything further?
15
             MS. GARNETT:
                            Judge, I think the proposed bail
16
   package does almost nothing to address the danger to the
17
    community issue. Where the proof on Mr. Guerrero is very
18
    strong, I think detention's warranted.
19
                          All right, let me think about it.
             THE COURT:
20
   Next, who goes next under your sharing deal.
21
                          I'll go next, Your Honor.
             MR. TOUGER:
22
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
23
             MR. TOUGER: Your Honor, (inaudible) on behalf of
24
    Gibron Lopez. First, let me deal with the issue of the
25
   bench warrants that's in his criminal history. If you
```

notice, Your Honor, both times he was arrested for 1 2 obviously very minor charges, he pled quilty the day after he was arrested in court. And then the bench warrants came 3 because he was sentenced to pay a fine of \$75 on the 4 marijuana case, and my client tells me on (indiscernible) 5 6 convicted of disorderly conduct, which is not even a crime, it's a violation, the fine there was \$50 and one day of 7 community service. And when he did not have the \$50 to 8 9 pay, he didn't show up in court, not knowing that he could get an adjournment. And that's why, if you see, what he 10 did was (indiscernible), he was given a five-day term of 11 12 incarceration as opposed to a \$50 fine. And the same thing 13 happened with the marijuana case, although ultimately he 14 did pay the \$75 fine. 15 So the bench warrants that were issued were not in 16 the case that's actually pending. They were while he was trying to get the money together. 17 18 That still doesn't make me confident THE COURT: that he's going to be here when he has to. But continue. 19 20 Finally, Your Honor, my client has MR. TOUGER: never lived anywhere else but New York. He's lived with 21 2.2 his mother for basically his entire life except for a stint 23 in the United States Army. He has no ties to any other 24 community, no relatives anywhere else but New York City.

And I would ask Your Honor that you, along with what

- 1 Pretrial Supervision recommends, that you set a bond in the
- 2 amount of \$100,000 which we would add to that, Your Honor,
- 3 that he be given house arrest. Therefore, it would pose no
- 4 threat to the community as he would be confined to his
- 5 home, except to come to court and to see me on the approval
- 6 of the court, I mean of Pretrial Services. We'd ask for
- 7 | the same \$100,000 bond with house arrest.
- 8 THE COURT: How many cosigners do you have if I
- 9 were going to grant bail?
- 10 MR. TOUGER: The most he could get, Your Honor,
- 11 | would be three.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Anything further from the
- 13 Government on Mr. Lopez?
- MS. GARNETT: Judge, only that we don't think
- 15 that, as Your Honor is well aware, that detection with
- 16 electronic monitoring is far from perfect, and we don't
- 17 | think that, given Mr. Lopez's role and the seriousness of
- 18 | the conduct, that it's sufficient to address the risk of
- 19 danger to the community.
- 20 THE COURT: All right. Finally, as to Mr.
- 21 Rodriquez.
- 22 MR. DRATEL: Thank you, Your Honor. We'll start
- 23 out with the allegations because they really don't apply to
- 24 Mr. Rodriguez in terms of the complaint. The complaint is
- 25 quit thin, insufficient at all with respect to Mr.

or a conspiracy at all.

Rodriguez. He doesn't appear until paragraph 19 in the factual recitation. And there's only discussion here of drugs and drug pricing. There's no discussion of robbery, there's no discussion of weapons, there's no discussion of violence. And like I said, I don't know that it even makes out a robbery case at all, and even if it makes a drug case

So on the facts of the case, there's a substantial difference here in the conduct that's alleged. He's a latecomer; other than the driver, he's the last person involved. He's not involved in any of those preliminary conversations. This is day of, yesterday. So the case against him is thin.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure I agree with that, but go ahead, what else?

MR. DRATEL: He has family here, and, again, same situation, because obviously people don't have phones here, his girlfriend was unable to verify anything, but she's been here since 10 o'clock this morning, since I've been here. And his brother, he has a half brother who's here as well. And they've been waiting all day. They're available as cosigners. There are other family who are not here who we think could be available as cosigners. So I would propose four cosigners, Your Honor. The bond, again, in the amount of a hundred thousand. Home detention,

2.2

electronic monitoring, strict Pretrial supervision,
whatever conditions the Court thought appropriate to impose
we would accept.

With respect to prior record, there's one substantial conviction obviously in the state court, and what's important about that case, other than the warrants for marijuana possession and the warranting that went on, that someone were to return two days later on a warrant. So it's really a missed court appearance is that for that case where he had a jail term, he reported. There's no warrant in that case with respect to that. So he has been responsible. The most important the case has been, the more responsible he has been. So it's really sort of counterintuitive.

And there are a couple of issues that are listed as recent in the Pretrial report as negatives which I think are really not reasons to deny bail. One is unemployed. That's not a reason to deny bail. The other is marijuana use. It's not a reason to deny bail.

And also, I just want to point out that this case reeks of this very, very same scenario that's been covered in two articles in the New York Times in recent weeks, and two courts out west, district courts have dismissed cases for outrageous Government conduct. This conveniently has the initial entreaty coming from one of the defendants

```
20
   rather than the informant. We will see what those
 1
 2
    conversations show --
                          I'll let you --
 3
             THE COURT:
                          -- what the evidence shows --
 4
             MR. DRATEL:
                          I'll let you --
 5
             THE COURT:
 6
             MR. DRATEL: -- but this case has that quality to
 7
        So I think all of that makes Mr. Rodriguez, who is a
    it.
    lifelong New Yorker -- and also the other thing about
 8
 9
    travel, that he has a passport is not a reason to deny him
    bail. Also, his foreign travel, 2002, this is not an issue
10
    for bail in this context. You can't take someone's prior
11
12
   history, pick out the worst of it, and then say that he's
13
   not a candidate for bail.
14
             THE COURT: Okay, thank you all.
15
             MR. DRATEL:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: Unless the Government has anything
17
    else to say.
                            Your Honor, if you want to hear
18
             MS. GARNETT:
    from me further, I can comment on Mr. Rodriquez.
19
20
                          If you wish, if there's anything to
             THE COURT:
21
    add.
22
             MS. GARNETT: The only thing I wanted to point
    out, Judge, is Mr. Rodriguez's essentially unbroken string
23
24
    of criminal activity in the last ten years. He's twice
25
   been on probation or parole and promptly returned to
```

```
21
   criminal activity. He has a prior felony narcotics
 1
 2
    conviction, two bench warrants in this year alone. I just
   don't see that he's a candidate for release.
 3
                           Your Honor, he completed his parole
 4
             MR. DRATEL:
 5
    successfully. He's not on supervision currently. He did
 6
   have probation revoked when he was 19 years old.
 7
             THE COURT:
                          All right --
             MR. DRATEL: Seven years ago.
 8
                          All right, the Court sets bail as
 9
             THE COURT:
10
    follows -
11
                          Your Honor, may I just offer one
             MR. ORDEN:
12
    other thing.
13
             THE COURT:
                          Yeah.
14
             MR. ORDEN: I don't know if you're setting any
15
          But Mr. Guerrero would, if it's a consideration,
16
    certainly be amenable to be placed on electronic monitoring
17
    and house arrest.
             (pause in proceeding)
18
19
                          All right, Court sets bail for each
             THE COURT:
20
    of the three defendants as follows: a $250,000 personal
21
    recognizance bond cosigned by five financially responsible
22
    persons, further secured by a $25,000 cash or property.
    Travel restricted to the Southern and Eastern Districts of
23
24
   New York, surrender travel documents, no new applications.
25
    Strict Pretrial supervision with drug testing and
```

1 treatment. Home incarceration with electronic monitoring,

- 2 each defendant to pay for their electronic monitoring. In
- 3 addition, they obviously need to have landlines in order to
- 4 have electronic monitoring. Each defendant to be detained
- 5 until all conditions are met.
- 6 Preliminary hearing date for the remaining three
- 7 defendants. Counsel. Fourteenth day --
- 8 ATTORNEY: Waive to the 30th day, Your Honor.
- 9 ATTORNEY: We'll waive to the 30th day, Your
- 10 Honor.
- 11 ATTORNEY: Waive to the 30th, Judge.
- 12 PRETRIAL SERVICES: Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 14 PRETRIAL SERVICES: If we can have mental health
- 15 | counseling as a condition of bail for Mr. Lopez. He
- 16 reported depression.
- 17 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 18 MR. TOUGER: No, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Thirtieth day is January
- 20 | 20. All right, anything else on this matter?
- 21 MS. GARNETT: Nothing from the Government, Your
- 22 Honor. Thank you.
- 23 THE COURT: And obvious the Government or the
- 24 defense have the right to go to a Part 1 judge. If you do,
- 25 let me know the results.

```
23
              THE COURT: Okay, next case. Everyone move out
 1
    on this one quickly and quietly.
 2
              (Whereupon the matter is adjourned.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	24
2	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
3	
4	I, Carole Ludwig, certify that the foregoing
5	transcript of proceedings in the United States District
6	Court, Southern District of New York, United States of
7	America v. Lopez, Docket #14m2848, was prepared using
8	digital transcription software and is a true and accurate
9	record of the proceedings.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Signature
15	
16	Date: December 22, 2014
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	